

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.hspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/098,650	98,650 03/15/2002		Bernhard Jakoby	10191/2310 7377	
26646	7590	04/04/2003			
KENYON &		N	EXAMINER		
ONE BROAD NEW YORK				POLITZE	R, JAY L
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2856	
				DATE MAILED: 04/04/2003	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/098,650 Applicant(s)

Bernhard et al

Examiner

Jay Politzer

Art Unit 2856

Ш	Ш	
Ш		
Ш	Ш	

The MAILING DATE of th	nis communication appears	on the cover she	et with	the correspondence address
Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS CO - Extensions of time may be available under the	OMMUNICATION.			
mailing date of this communication.				
 If the period for reply specified above is less the second of the second	naximum statutory period will apply a iod for reply will, by statute, cause th ree months after the mailing date of th	nd will expire SIX (6) I e application to becom	MONTHS from ABANDO	om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status				
1) 💢 Responsive to communica	tion(s) filed on Mar 25, 2	003		
2a) X This action is FINAL .	2b)☐ This acti	ion is non-final.		
closed in accordance with				rs, prosecution as to the merits is 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims				
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-7, 9-11, and 13</u>	3-19			is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above, claim(s) _				is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s)				is/are allowed.
6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-7, 9-11, and 13</u>				
7) Claim(s)				is/are objected to.
				to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers		•		
9) The specification is objected	ed to by the Examiner.			
10) \square The drawing(s) filed on	is/are	a) accepted	or b)□	objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request	that any objection to the dr	awing(s) be held	l in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The proposed drawing cor	rection filed on	is:	a) 🗆 ap	proved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected draw	vings are required in reply to	o this Office acti	on.	
12) \square The oath or declaration is	objected to by the Examir	ner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 a				
13) Acknowledgement is made	e of a claim for foreign pri	ority under 35	U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐	None of:			
	e priority documents have			
				ication No
3. U Copies of the certifie application fro *See the attached detailed Off	om the International Burea	iu (PCT Rule 17	'.2(a)).	ceived in this National Stage
14) ☐ Acknowledgement is madea) ☐ The translation of the for				
15) Acknowledgement is made				
Attachment(s)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	p, aa	0.0.0	. 33 . 23 d
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Sumi	mary (PTO-	413) Paper No(s).
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R				Application (PTO-152)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-	1449) Paper No(s)	6) Other:		

Art Unit: 2856

Title: MEASURING SYSTEM FOR A VISCOSITY MEASUREMENT OF

LIQUIDS

Filed: 3/15/02

Inventor(s): Bernhard et al

DETAILED ACTION

REJECTIONS OVER PRIOR ART UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103:

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

"A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person."

2. Claims 1-7, 9-11 and 13-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Martin et al, hereinafter Martin in view of Igaki et al, hereinafter Igaki and further in view of Buchanan et al, hereinafter Buchanan.

Regarding Claims 1-4; Martin teaches the entire claim in the introduction except for the use of conductive adhesive coupling and an immersible container for mounting the crystal. Igaki teaches the benefits of conductive adhesive couplings at Col 5, Li 37-61. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use Igaki's

Art Unit: 2856

conductive adhesive couplings in Martin to isolate the piezo element from shock and vibration. Buchanan teaches an immersible container in the Fig 4. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to mount Martin's crystal in a protective container and for convenience in testing different samples as shown in Fig 4.

Regarding Claim 5; see Martin, P 214, top of Col 2.

Regarding Claims 6 and 17; see Igaki, Col 5, Li 41-60.

Regarding Claim 7; neither Martin nor Igaki teach bifurcated contact spring conductors. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use bifurcated contact spring conductors because these have been used since the early days of radio for interchangeable quartz crystals.

Regarding Claims 9 and 11; see bushing at top center of Fig 2 with two conductors.

Regarding Claim 10; Martin fails to teach glass bushings. However, it is notoriously old and well known in the electrical arts to employ glass feed-throughs for protection and insulation.

Art Unit: 2856

Regarding Claims 12-13; see openings 10 and 12 of Buchanan's Fig 1 wherein the openings are in the side and bottom. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide openings in the top as well because the upper opening is merely to vent air.

Regarding Claims 15-16; see Igaki, Col 6, Li 1-5.

Regarding Claim 18; see Igaki, Col 19, Li 23.

Regarding Claim 19; for densities of gold, nickel and resin of 19.3gm/cc, 8.9gm/cc and 1gm/cc, respectively, 1cc of metal meets the claim requirement and is given by Igaki at Col 7, Li 44.

Regarding Claim 14; it is obvious that almost any container is hermetically sealable.

DESCRIPTION OF UNAPPLIED ART:

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure because it teaches other viscometers.

FINAL ACTION:

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See M.P.E.P. § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2856

> A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ADVISORY ACTION. RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

REMARKS:

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

INQUIRIES:

- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier 6. communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Jay L. Politzer whose telephone number is (703) 305-4930 and whose facsimile number is (703) 308-7382
- 7. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Hezron E. Williams, can be reached at (703) 305-4705.
- Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of 8. this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4900.

4/1/03 jlp

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800